

2001 ADWR XERISCAPE CONTEST
JUDGES’ RATING FORM

Instructions: Please rank in each category. The highest score is 5, the lowest is 1, and NA is “not applicable.” Add specific comments wherever possible, as this is helpful to staff when writing press releases, award comments, and final summary comments to the entrant.

Category _____ # _____

(category from entry form)Office Use Only

Address _____

Concepts, designs, or visible work **NOT** to be judged (preexisting landscaping, walkways, walls, contours, plantings, etc.) _____

Judge’s Summary Notes: _____

1. **Water use efficiency** (balance between water uses and other landscape components, e.g., if there is a swimming pool, have they reduced or eliminated high water use plantings or designed in more hardscape rather than irrigated planting areas)

54321NA

Comments: _____

2. **Irrigation System** (appropriate scheduling, efficiency) .

54321NA

Comments: _____

3. **Water Harvesting**

54321NA

Comments: _____

4. **Aesthetic Quality** (design, space, scale)

54321NA

Comments: _____

5. **Plant Palette** (water conserving zones)

54321NA

Comments: _____

6. **Planting Techniques–Adverse Site Conditions**

54321NA

Comments: _____

7. **Minimize Maintenance**

54321NA

Comments: _____

8. **Landscape Elements-Integration w/Site**

54321NA

Comments: _____

9. **Functions Well**

54321NA

Comments: _____

Is this an outstanding entry that would qualify for one of the following categories?

Best Treatment of Hillside or Slope

Best Use of Zone Concept

Best use of Mini Oasis

Distinguished Xeriscape Award

Best Documentation of Water Use Efficiency. . . .

Savings or Irrigation Efficiency

5432154321543215432154321